Message

From: Robinson, Randall [robinson.randall@epa.gov]

Sent: 8/18/2016 6:09:41 PM

To: Portanova, Mary [portanova.mary@epa.gov]; Giwojna, Benjamin [giwojna.benjamin@epa.gov]; Darrow, Jennifer

[darrow.jennifer@epa.gov]

CC: Damico, Genevieve [damico.genevieve@epa.gov]

Subject: call with Joy Wiecks

Hello,

Joy called a couple weeks ago asking about modeling done for a USG Cloquet permit issued several years ago. She had a specific question about a technique used for developing background concentrations in the modeling.

In order to be more responsive, because she may have additional questions/concerns, I've offered to set up a call to discuss. I'd also be curious to find out why she has an interest in this old permit.

Are you guys available next Thursday? If so, I'll find a time that works and set up the call.

Thanks, Randy

Randy Robinson
Air and Radiation Division
EPA Region 5
312 353-6713

From: Joy Wiecks [mailto:JoyWiecks@FDLREZ.COM] Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2016 11:58 AM

To: Robinson, Randall < robinson.randall@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Paired sums modeling

If it helps, on next Wednesday I have a webinar at 1:00, my Thursday is completely open, but I will be out on Friday the 26th.

From: Robinson, Randall [mailto:robinson.randall@epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2016 11:55 AM

To: Joy Wiecks

Subject: RE: Paired sums modeling

Joy,

I'll see what works best here. It may be early next week. My tomorrow is booked and I've discovered some are out on Friday.

I'll send an appointment when it gets finalized.

Thanks, Randy

Randy Robinson Air and Radiation Division EPA Region 5 312 353-6713 From: Joy Wiecks [mailto:JoyWiecks@FDLREZ.COM]

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2016 11:53 AM **To:** Robinson, Randall < robinson.randall@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Paired sums modeling

Hi Randy,

I'm open on Friday all day. On Monday I have a call at 1:00 and on Tuesday I have a call at 2:00, probably one hour each. If you need dates beyond that let me know. Yes, if you could get your permits folks that'd be great.

I'm not sure of internal policies on approving modeling protocols. I remember during the Polymet calls many of those decisions had to go thru HQ, which is why I approached Tyler.

-Joy

From: Robinson, Randall [mailto:robinson.randall@epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2016 11:35 AM

To: Joy Wiecks

Subject: RE: Paired sums modeling

Hi Joy,

Sorry about not responding sooner. I didn't have much luck digging up relevant information. However, it may be most useful at this point to have a brief call to discuss. I can bring in our permit staffer. I'm not positive of our schedules here but would you have time Friday or maybe early next week for a quick conference call?

Thanks, Randy

Randy Robinson
Air and Radiation Division
EPA Region 5
312 353-6713

From: Joy Wiecks [mailto:JoyWiecks@FDLREZ.COM]

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2016 11:41 AM

To: Robinson, Randall <<u>robinson.randall@epa.gov</u>> **Cc:** Mooney, John <Mooney.John@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Paired sums modeling

Hi Randy,

I don't know if you guys have been able to find out anything more about the review of the USG modeling (Cloquet, MN done in 2012) since we talked last week, but I would be interested in hearing if Region 5 believes that this modeling was performed in an appropriate manner.

-Joy

From: Fox, Tyler [mailto:Fox.Tyler@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2016 11:32 AM

To: Joy Wiecks

Cc: Bridgers, George; Owen, Chris; Mooney, John; Robinson, Randall

Subject: RE: Paired sums modeling

Joy

Thanks for your note and acknowledgement that it is difficult to weigh in on situations that we are unfamiliar with. I would recommend contacting Region 5 to engage on the specifics here in terms of use of paired sums for PM2.5 and for NO2. They would be more familiar with these situations and be best able to provide input on any approvals and/or adequacy of the approach in those specific permit actions.

I would recommend contacting either John Mooney at (312) 886-6043 or Randy Robinson at (312) 353-6713 to determine the extent of any informal or formal approvals in these situations as well as the implications, if any, on the permit modeling results and demonstrations.

Thanks,

Tyler

From: Joy Wiecks [mailto:JoyWiecks@FDLREZ.COM]

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2016 11:44 AM

To: Fox, Tyler < Fox. Tyler@epa.gov > **Subject:** Paired sums modeling

Hi Tyler,

I'm the Air Coordinator at the Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa, located in Minnesota. I think I've met you before at one meeting or another. I have some questions for you regarding paired sums modeling. I tried your phone but it wouldn't ring. Not sure if the problem is on my end or yours. Anyway, could you try to give me a ring at 218-878-7108 or else email me back?

My concern is with some sources right off the Fond du Lac Reservation (in Cloquet, MN) that did some modeling back in 2012 using the paired sums method for PM-2.5 NAAQS modeling, and paired sums and OLM and PVMRM for NOx Tier 3 modeling. It sounds like in June, 2010, the facility requested Region 5's approval for this and asked for written comments. By June, 2011, they hadn't heard anything, so they sent a formal written modeling protocol to Region 5 and asked for formal written approval for this approach. They still hadn't heard back by Feb. 15, 2012 so they went ahead and used the paired sums method. Since that time there have been a few EPA documents warning against the use of paired sums in most situations. I'd like to talk to you about whether it might be worth requesting that this modeling be re-done. I'm curious if it was appropriate to move forward in this situation without formal EPA approval. My thought is that it was taking a chance to move ahead without formal approval. Thanks,

-Joy